1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED	STATES	DISTR	ICT	COU	JRT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

BROCADE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS,) Case No.: 10-CV-03428-LHK INC., a Delaware corporation, and FOUNDRY NETWORKS, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, Plaintiffs, ORDER SETTING BRIEFING v. SCHEDULE ON TEMPORARY A10 NETWORKS, INC., a California RESTRAINING ORDER corporation, LEE CHEN, an individual, RAJKUMAR JALAN, an individual, RON SZETO, an individual, LIANG HAN, an individual, STEVEN HWANG, an individual, and DAVID CHEUNG, an individual, Defendants.

On July 26, 2011, Brocade Communications Systems, Inc. (Brocade) filed a motion for a temporary restraining order (TRO) and a preliminary injunction. Brocade seeks an order preventing A10 Networks, Inc. (A10) from signing sales contracts for A10's AX Series application delivery controller (AX controller) with three potential clients, and from offering the AX controller for sale to any customer. In addition, Brocade asks that A10 be ordered to notify its customers that its AX Series products may be subject to recall if Brocade is successful in this suit. See Dkt. No. 124. Brocade's motion is based on its allegation that it has discovered proof that A10 copied Brocade's copyright-protected source code, and utilized Brocade's trade secrets, in A10's AX controllers.

Case No.: 10-CV-03428-LHK

ORDER REGARDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON MOTION FOR TRO

United States District Court	For the Northern District of California	
United States District Cou	For the Northern District of Cal	

Although Brocade appears to seek entry of a TRO before A10 can respond to or oppose the
motion, the Court cannot grant the requested relief without providing A10 an opportunity to
respond. As noted by Brocade in its moving papers, loss of customers, goodwill, and bargaining
power can constitute irreparable harm. Stuhlbarg Int'l Sales Co. v. John D. Brush & Co., 240 F.3d
832, 841 (9th Cir. 2001). If the Court erroneously concludes that A10 has infringed Brocade's
copyrights or used its trademarks, based only on Brocade's side of the story, and orders the
measures Brocade seeks, A10 will suffer the same irreparable harm that Brocade complains of
here

Accordingly, the Court sets the following expedited briefing schedule:

A10 shall file an opposition to Brocade's motion for TRO by Tuesday, August 2, 2011. Brocade shall file a reply by Thursday, August 4, 2011. No hearing will be scheduled on this matter at this time.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 27, 2011

United States Strict Judge